THE STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

September 3, 2020

Revised

Lawrence Wright, Superintendent
Rush-Henrietta Central School District
2034 Lehigh Station Road
Henrietta, NY 14467

Dear Superintendent Wright:

Congratulations. I am pleased to inform you that your Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan meets the criteria outlined in Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Commissioner's Regulations and has been approved. As a reminder, we are relying on the information you provided on your APPR form, including the certifications and assurances that are part of your approved APPR plan. If any material changes are made to your approved plan, your district/BOCES must submit such material changes to us for approval. Please see the attached notes for further information.

Please be advised that, pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, the Department will be analyzing data supplied by districts, BOCES, and/or schools and may order a corrective action plan if there are unacceptably low correlation results between the Student Performance category and the Teacher Observation or Principal School Visits category, and/or if the teachers' or principals' overall ratings and subcomponent scores show little differentiation across educators and/or the lack of differentiation is not justified by equivalently consistent student achievement results, and/or if schools or districts show a pattern of anomalous results in the Student Performance category and/or the Observation/School Visits category.

The New York State Education Department and I look forward to continuing our work together, with the goal of ensuring that every school has



Betty A. Rosa
Interim Commissioner

Attachment

c: Daniel White

NOTE:

Only documents that are incorporated by reference in your APPR have been reviewed and are considered as part of your APPR; therefore, any supplemental documents such as memorandums of agreement or understanding that were uploaded with your APPR but are not incorporated by reference in your APPR have not been reviewed. However, the Department reserves the right to review the uploaded attachments at any time for consistency with your APPR plan and/or to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations; and as a result of such review, the Department may reject your APPR plan and/or require corrective action.

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Common Branch Grade One Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade one teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

Grade 1: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 1: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 1: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 1: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
☑ Elementary Science (when available)
☑ All Regents given in LEA

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Common Branch Grade Two Measures and Asssessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade two teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and courdA CSD ( )Tj ET q 1 0 0 1 327.ribaa0 1 0.12- easure5c78sTMa0 1 0.12-d7 Tm-2.j ET q 1 0 0 1 327.riba9ID 7 >>BDC942.814 0 Td (Teacher and courdA CS

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Common Branch Grade Three Measures and Assessments

Please indicate below which of the three available measure types will be used for grade three teachers, then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesin the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide linked results**: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade Five (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 5: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 5: District- or BOCES Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 5: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 5: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
- ☑ Elementary Science (when available)
- ☑ All Regents given in LEA

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade Six (Common Branch) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade 6: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 6: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 6: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 6: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
- ☑ Elementary Science (when available)
- ☑ All Regents given in LEA

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade Seven (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade seven departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 7: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 7: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade 7: Assessment Type(s)

- ☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade 7: State or Regents Assessment(s)

- ☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
- ☑ Elementary Science (when available)
- ☑ All Regents given in LEA

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade Eight Measures and Assessments

Please identify below whether grade eight instruction is common branch or departmentalized; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for grade eight teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' course0ga 1 Tf2EMC /P <1 571_30 1 Tf -D 5 l- cEEducation g1n <n the current school13.688.

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade Eight (Departmentalized) Measure and Assessment(s)

Grade eight departmentalized with uniform measure and assessment(s) across core subjects

Grade 8: Measure Type

District- or BOCES-wide

Grade 8: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

Grade Eight: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

Grade Eight: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Grade 4 Sc</M2g 240qdH disco0qdnuzed)

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

High School English Language Arts

Note: Additional high school English courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school ELA teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by grade level; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school ELA teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

High School Regents Science

Note: Additional high school science courses may be included in the "Other Courses" section.

Please identify below whether all high school Regents science teachers use the same type of measure and assessment(s) or if the measures and assessments vary by course; indicate which of the three available measure types will be used for high school Regents science teachers; and then choose the specific measure, corresponding assessment type(s), and applicable assessment(s).

An individually attributed SLO measure

> Teacher and course-specific

- **Teacher and course-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

A collectively attributed SLO measure

> School- or program-wide

- **School- or program-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or programwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **School- or program-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of teachers' coursesults

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

High School Regents Science (All Courses) Measure and Assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: Measure

District- or BOCES-wide

High School Regents Science: District- or BOCES-Wide Measure

District- or BOCES-wide results

High School Regents Science: Assessment Type(s)

☑ State or Regents assessment(s)

High School Regents Science: State or Regents Assessment(s)

☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued)
☑ Elementary Science (when available)
☑ All Regents given in LEA

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Grade From	**Grade To**	**Subject**	Measure	State or Regents Assessment(s)	Locally-developed Course-Specific Assessment(s)	Third Party Assessment(s)
K	12	All courses not named above	District-or BOCES-wide results	☑ Grade 4 Science (until discontinued) ☑ Elementary Science (when available) ☑ All Regents given in LEA		

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent willbe locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Page Last Modified: 07/31/2020

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that each set of observations (by supervisor/other trained administrator, independent, or peer) will be completed using the selected practice rubric, producing an overall score between 1 and 4. The overall weighted observation score will then be converted into a HEDI rating using the ranges indicated below.
- ☑ Assure that once all observations are complete, the different types of observations will be combined using a weighted average consistent with the weights specified below, producing an overall Observation category score between 0 and 4. In the event that a teacher earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.

Teacher Observation Scoring Bands

The overall Observation score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on locally determined ratings consistent with the ranges listed.

	Overall Observation Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00*	1.49 to 1.74

** In the event that an educator earns a score of 1 on all rated components of the practice rubric across all observations, a score of 0 will be assigned.*

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Page Last Modified: 07/31/2020

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Please also check each of the following boxes.

- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver, the second observation(s) shall be conducted by one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the observation(s) required to be performed by the principal/supervisor or other trained administrator. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(a) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.
- ☑ Assure that if the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, the terms of such waiver shall apply for the school year during which the waiver is effective; and, that in any school year for which there is an approved waiver and such waiver contains information that conflicts with the information provided in Task 4 of the LEA's approved Section 3012-d APPR plan, the provisions of the approved waiver will apply. See Section 30-3.4(c)(1)(ii)(b) of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Category Scoring Ranges

The overall Student Performance category score and the overall Observation category score will be converted into a HEDI rating based on the ranges listed in the tables below.

Student Performance Category

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on the point distribution below.

	Overall Student Performance Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	18	20
E	15	17
D	13	14
I	0	12

Teacher Observation

HEDI ratings must be assigned based on locally-determined ranges consistent with the constraints listed below.

	Overall Observation Category Category Score and Rating	
	Minimum	Maximum
H	3.5 to 3.75	4.0
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74
I	0.00	1.49 to 1.74

Scoring Matrix for the Overall Rating

The overall rating for an educator shall be determined according to a methodology described in the matrix below.

		Teacher Observation Category			
		Highly Effective (H)	**Effective (E)**	**Developing (D)**	**Ineffective (I)**
Student Performance Category	**Highly Effective (H)**	H	H	E	D
	Effective (E)	H	E	E	D
	Developing (D)	E	E	D	I
	Ineffective (I)	D	D	I	I

Teacher and Overall Rating Calculator

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxese2bPlow.001

Page Last Modified: 07/30/2020

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Observers, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent observers and peer observers;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent observers and peer observers, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators (lead evaluators, evaluators and independent observers) are trained as lead evaluators. Each evaluator in the district (including all new administrators as soon as they are hired) must successfuly complete an online, 30-hour training course, the Danielson Framework for Teaching Training Modules and Proficiency Tests for Domains 1, 2 and 3 of the Danielson 2013 rubric for evaluator certification (via TeachScape/Frontline). Inter-rater reliability of evaluators is ensured through the use of this common training and assessment tool, as well as periodic professional development sessions focused on evidence-based observation skills. All evaluators - during their annual recertification workshop each August and periodiically throughout the year - calibrate their observations, scripting and identification and use of evidence to support the assessment of each indicator in Danielson domains 2 and 3. This training includes the nine required elements from Regents Rules 30-3.10. This annual training also includes sharing and evaluating each other's observations and ratings of teachers, and identification of exemplars. In addition to training on the rubric, evaluators also engage in work on the NYS Teaching Standards as well as the Three-Step Conversation protocol and other research-based approachesfor providing evidence-based feedback that promotes teacher reflection and professional growth to improve student achievement. First-year evaluators also work on evidence-based observation strategies with the director of professional development, who serves as our coach for the Danielson framework and teacher observation process. All evaluators are certified annually to the Board of Educationby the Superintendent.

Required Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the Required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the Optional subcomponent is selected.

Required Student Performance Measures

Student performance for prinicpals may be measured by either a student learning objectives (SLO) or an Input Model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards.

STUDENT LEARNING OBJECTIVES

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the learning outcomes of a student population within the principal's building or program.

> Principal and building/program-specific

- **Principal and building/program-specific results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the principal's building/program in the current school year.

Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple buildings/programs of similar grade configurationor across multiple building/programs where the learning activities of one building/programindirectly contributeto student learning outcomes in another building/program. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where there is an opportunity for a collective impact on student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support an LEA'sfocus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.

> District- or BOCES-wide

- **District- or BOCES-wide results**:scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students across buildings/programs in an LEAwho take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- **District- or BOCES-wide group or team results**: scores and ratings for a group or team of principals will be based on the growth of students in the group/team of principals' buildings/programs in an LEAin the current school year.

ASSESSMENTS

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

- **State assessment(s)**;or

  Assessment(s) that are selected from the list of State-approved:

- **third party assessments**; or
- **locally-developed assessments**(district-, BOCES- or regionally-developed).

INPUT MODEL

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- adescription of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- adescription of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- adescription of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an input model, assure that all applicable principals will be evaluated using the procedures described herein and approved by the Commissioner.
- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and input models.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved APPR plan.

Required Student Performance for Principals

Please choose the option that best describes the required student performance subcomponent for principals in your LEA.

- ☑ The same measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for all principals
- ☐ Different measure(s) and assessment(s) will be used for different grade configurations/programs

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Optional Student Performance Subcomponent

For guidance on the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category,see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- **Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;**
- **Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;**
- **Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;**
-

Page Last Modified: 07/31/2020

Please describe the process for rating and scoring the selected practice rubric consistent with the Department's regulations.
Your description should provide the complete process, including the following:

•

Page Last Modified: 07/31/2020

HEDI Ranges

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Ineffective:	0.00	1.49

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

Principal School Visit Subcomponent Weighting

Required Subcomponent 1: School visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators
- At least 80% of the Principal School Visit category score

Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)*
- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Principal School Visit category score

Optional Subcomponent: School visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)
- No more than 10% of the Principal School Visit category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

** If the LEA is granted an annual Rural/Single Building District Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the use of one or more evaluators selected and trained by the LEA, who are different than the evaluator(s) who conducted the school visit(s) required to be performed by the Superintendent/supervisor or their designee. If the LEA is granted an annual Undue Burden Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver by the Department, this subcomponent will be satisfied through the processes described in that application.*

Please indicate the weight of each school visit type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

Supervisor/Administrator [Required]	Independent Evaluator(s) [Required]	Peer School Visit(s) [Optional]	**Grade configurations for which Peer School Visits will be used**
90%	10%	0% [N/A]	(No Response)

School Visit Assurances

Please check all of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrators

At least one school visit must be conducted by supervisor or other trained administrator and at least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by superintendent or other trained administrator.
- Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of a board of education or superintendent of schools from conducting additional school visits for non-evaluative purpoTj fisit/0Adenj fisit/0Adenj the erintendent or other trat

Annual Professional Performance Review - Education Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Required School Visits

Page Last Modified: 07/08/2020

PRINCIPALS *Indicate whether the number and method selected applies to all principals or to a subgroup of principals.*	**SUBGROUP** *If "Subgroup of Principals" is selected in the previous column, indicate which principals the number and method selected applies to; otherwise, enter "N/A." For additional subgroups, add another row.*	**UNANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**	**ANNOUNCED Minimum Number of School Visits**
All Principals (enter 'N/A' in the next column)	N/A	0	1

Required Subcomponent 2: School Visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)HoBAM2.32 6leSpan /P <</MC2

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Category and Overall Ratings

For guidance on APPR scoring, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Page Last Modified: 08/02/2019

Additional Requirements

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED APPR Guidance.

Principal Improvement Plan Assurances

Please check each of the boxes below.

- ☑ Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- ☑ Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

Principal Improvement Plan Forms

All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or his/her designee, in the exercise of his/her pedagogical judgment, must include:

1)ros

differenTj EMC /P <</MCID 3 >>BDC /T1_ rg39s, seel'BD_1qui_pp_ 0 314361-s imppment in e.

Page Last Modified: 07/29/2020

Appeal Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ Assure the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and that they provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Appeals

Pursuant to Education Law§3012-d, a principal may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

(1) the substance of the annual professional performance review;which shall include the following:

(i) in the instance of a principal rated Ineffective on the studentperformance category, but rated Highly Effective on the school visit category based on an anomaly, as determined locally;

(2) the LEA's adherence to the standards and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law§3012-d;

(3) the adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents; and

(4) the LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal improvement plan, as required under Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

Describe the procedure for ensuring that appeals of annual performance evaluations will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner.

A principal receiving an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective may appeal on anygrounds for appeal permitted under Section 3012-d. All appeals will be resolved in a timely and expeditious manner. The written notice of appeal must be sent by the principal to the Superintendent no later than ten [10] business days from the date when the principal received their overall score. No more than ten [10] business days after that, the principal must select and present their appeal to a Cabinet administrator who is a trained principal evaluator. Withinten [10] business days after presentation of the appeal, the selected Cabinet administrator must meet with the Superintendent to present the appeal, whereupon the Superintendent will send a written response by the tenth business day after this meeting.That decision is final and binding.

Page Last Modified: 08/04/2020

Training Assurance

Please check the box below.

☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a principal's evaluation. Note: independent evaluators and peer principals need only be trained on elements 1, 2, and 4 below.

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Principals and Certification of Lead Evaluators

The process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators must include:

1) the process for training lead evaluators and evaluators, including impartial independent evaluators and peer principals;
2) the process for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators;
3) the process for ensuring inter-rater reliability; and
4) the nature (content) and the approximate duration (how many hours, days) of such training.

Describe the process for training evaluators, including impartial and independent evaluators and peer principals, and certifying and re-certifying lead evaluators.

All evaluators (lead and independent) will attend local BOCEStraining sessions on the ISLLC standards and their related functions, as well as training on the Marshall Rubric. This training is approximately 15 hours in duration, and includes the nine required elements from Regents Rules 30-3.10. The independent observers of principals, who are assistant superintendents in the district,participate with the lead evaluator in leadership development sessions throughout the school year that involve peer review and evaluation of evidence-based observations (school visits) and calibration of feedback tied to the Marshall Rubric indicators, to ensure and strengthen inter-rater reliability. All evaluators are retrained and certified annually bythe Board of Education.

Page Last Modified: 09/01/2020

Upload APPR LEA Certification Form

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the APPR Plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

Rush-Henrietta_ImpCert 20-21.pdf

TEACHER IMPROVEMENT PLAN [TIP]

STATUS

☐ Probationary

☐ Tenured

☐ Other (LTS, etc.)

DATE FINAL EVALUATION CONDUCTED:

_____

SCHOOL YEAR FOR TIP:

_____

Teacher: _____ Position: _____

LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's Annual Professional Performance Review (APPR) plan.

By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the APPR plan submitted to the Commissioner for approval constitutes the school LEA's complete APPR plan, that all provisions of the plan that are subject to collective negotiations have been resolved pursuant to the provisions of Article 14 of the Civil Service Law, and that such plan complies with the requirements of Education Law §3012-c and all relevant provisions of the Laws of 2015 and subsequent Laws, rules, and regulations, and has been adopted by the governing body of the LEA.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify, upon information and belief, that all statements made herein are true and accurate and that any applicable collective bargaining agreements for teachers and principals are consistent with and/or have been amended or modified or otherwise resolved to the extent required by Article 14 of the Civil Service Law as necessary to require that all classroom teachers and building principals will be evaluated solely on the APPR plan submitted for the Commissioner of approval.

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also certify that the APPR plan is the sole and complete APPR plan and that such plan will be fully implemented by the LEA, that there are no collective bargaining agreements, memoranda of understanding or any other agreements in any form that prevent, restrict, or interfere with full implementation of the APPR Plan, and that no material changes will be made to the Plan through collective bargaining or otherwise except with the approval of the Commissioner in accordance with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents.

The school district and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also acknowledge that if approval of this APPR Plan is rejected or rescinded for any reason, any State aid increases received as a result of the Commissioner's approval of this APPR Plan may be withheld or forfeited by the State pursuant to Education Law §3012-d(11).

The LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s), where applicable, also make the following specific certifications with respect to their APPR Plan:

- Assure that the overall APPR rating will be used as a significant factor in employment decisions, including but not limited to tenure determinations and teacher and principal improvement plans;
- Assure that the entire APPR will be completed for each teacher or principal as soon as practicable but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the classroom teacher or building principal's performance is being measured;
- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher/principal his or her score and rating on the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher or Observation category on the teacher's or principal's overall APPR, in writing, no later than the last day of the school year for which the teacher or principal is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year following the year in which the teacher's or principal's performance is measured;
- Assure that the APPR Plan will be filed in the LEA's office and made available to the public on the LEA's website no later than September 10th of each school year or within 10 days after the plan's approval by the Commissioner, whichever shall later occur;
- Assure that complete and accurate teacher and student data will be provided to the Commissioner in a format and timeframe prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA will conduct and report the State-wide individual subcomponent scores and the overall rating for each classroom teacher and building principal in a manner prescribed by the Commissioner;
- Assure that the LEA provides an opportunity for every classroom teacher and building principal to verify the subjects and/or student rosters assigned to them;
- Assure that teachers and principals will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process;
- Assure that any training course for lead evaluators or certification courses for lead evaluators addresses each of the requirements in the regulations, including specific considerations in evaluating teachers and principals of English language learners and students with disabilities;
- Assure that any teacher or principal who receives an Overall Rating of Developing or Ineffective in any school year will receive a Teacher Improvement Plan or Principal Improvement Plan, as applicable, developed in accordance with the regulations of the Commissioner, by October 1 of the school year following the year in which such teacher's or principal's performance was measured, or as soon as practicable thereafter.
- Assure that such improvement plan shall be developed by the superintendent or his/her designee in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and shall be subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under Article 14 of the Civil Service Law;
- Assure that all evaluators and lead evaluators, including independent evaluators and peer evaluators, as applicable, will be properly trained and that lead evaluators will be certified and recertified as necessary in accordance with the applicable statutes and regulations;
- Assure that LEA has established a process that is consistent with the statute and regulations and provides for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal to the LEA.
- Assure that, for teachers, all observable NYS Teaching Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations and, for principals, all observable ISLLC 2008 Leadership Standards/Domains of the selected practice rubric are assessed at least once a year across the total number of annual observations.

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated beforehand;
- Assure that if [illegible] for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that all growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1st of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments that are not specifically required by State or Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for such grade. Time devoted to teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this [illegible] shall be construed to limit the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or the individualized education program for a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:



Superintendent Name (print):

L. Bo Wright 8.10.22

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Administrative Unit Signature: Date:

Administrative Unit Name (print):

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which [illegible] subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance category is locally selected, then the same locally selected measures of student growth [illegible] similar building configurations/programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- [illegible]
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to traditional standardized assessments under Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum annual required instructional hours for such classroom or program;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under state law does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of the minimum annual required instructional hours for such classroom or program; provided that teacher administered classroom diagnostic, performance, portfolio reviews or routine [illegible] assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to limit the time devoted to assessments counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to limit the requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Superintendent Name (print):

Teachers Union President Signature: Date:

9/1/2020

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Sheila Sullivan Buck

Administrative Union President Signature: Date:

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0. For each subcomponent, [illegible] scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories, [illegible]
- Assure that if a second measure for the Student Performance measures of student growth or achievement will be used only [illegible] similar building configurations, programs, for principals, in the LEA's [illegible] practices;
- Assure that all growth targets represent [illegible] duration of one year or extended duration;
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to the Commissioner for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual monitoring pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that [illegible] Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade [illegible] required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to [illegible] exceed, in the aggregate, ten percent of the minimum required annual instructional hours for [illegible] teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established [illegible] towards the limits established [illegible] requirements of a section 504 plan of a qualified student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners or the individualized education program of a student with a disability.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: Date:

Superintendent Name (print):

Teachers Union President Signature:

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Administrative Union President Signature: Date: 7/15/2020

Administrative Union President Name (print): Jennifer PTomulty

Board of Education President Signature: Date:

Board of Education President Name (print):

visits;

- Assure that it is possible for a teacher or principal to obtain each point in the scoring ranges, including 0, for each subcomponent and that the LEA shall ensure that the process by which weights and scoring ranges are assigned to subcomponents and categories is transparent and available to those being rated before the beginning of each school year;
- Assure that if a [redacted] measure for the Student Performance category is locally measures of student growth or achievement will be used across all classrooms in the same grade/subject, for teachers, or similar building configurations, programs, for principals, in the LEA will be used in a consistent manner to the extent practicable;
- Assure that [redacted]
- Assure that any material changes to this APPR Plan will be submitted to NYSED for approval by March 1 of each school year;
- Assure that the LEA will provide the Department with any information necessary to conduct annual [redacted] pursuant to Subpart 30-3 of the regulations;
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in Federal law for each classroom or program of the grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, one percent of the minimum in required annual instructional hours for such classroom or program of the grade; and
- Assure that the amount of time devoted to test preparation under standardized testing conditions for each grade does not exceed, in the aggregate, two percent of [redacted] teacher administered classroom quizzes or exams, portfolio reviews, or performance assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision. In addition, formative and diagnostic assessments shall not be counted towards the limits established by this subdivision and nothing in this subdivision shall be construed to supersede requirements of [redacted] or the individualized education program or section 504 plan of a student with a disability or Federal law relating to English language learners.

Signatures, dates

Superintendent Signature: **Date:**

Superintendent Name (print):

Teachers Union President Signature: **Date:**

Teachers Union President Name (print):

Administrative Union President Signature: **Date:**

Administrative Union President Name (print):

Board of Education President Signature: **Date:**

Diane E. McBride 8/5/2020

Board of Education President Name:

DIANE E. MCBRIDE