Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 1. General Information - Disclaimers and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Disclaimers**

For guidance related to Educator Evaluation plans, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms related to Educator Evaluation, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

The Department will review the contents of each local educational agency's (LEA) Educator Evaluation plan as submitted using this online form, including required attachments, to determine if the plan rigorously complies with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Department approval does not imply endorsement of specific educational approaches in an LEA's plan.

The Department reserves the right to request further information from an LEA to monitor compliance with Education Law §3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents. Each LEA is required to keep detailed rLaw cdusinPssed orm,a--d and I30-3 of thugrrE D9MCID 3 >EwgTd e6uuired

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

Each teacher shall have a locally determined Student Learning Objective (SLO) consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 2 of 53

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### Student Learning Objectives (SLOs)

For guidance on SLOs, see NYSED SLO Guidance.

SLOs shall be used as the required student performance measure for all teachers. The following must be used as the evidence of student learning within the SLO.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

#### **MEASURES**

SLO measures may be either individually attributed or collectively attributed.

### Individually attributed measures

An individually attributed SLO is based on the student population of a course for which the teacher directly contributes to student learning outcomes.

> Individually attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students in the teacher's course in the current school year.

### Collectively attributed measures

A collectively attributed SLO is based on a student population across multiple sections of the same course or across multiple courses where more than one teacher either directly or indirectly contributes to student learning outcomes. When determining whether to use a collectively attributed SLO, the LEA should consider:

- identifying which measures and assessments could be used to encourage partnerships or teams where teachers have an opportunity to collectively impact student learning;
- identifying which assessments could be used to help foster and support the LEA's focus on a specific priority area(s);
- the impact on the LEA's ability to make strong and equitable inferences regarding an individual educator's effectiveness; and
- when using multiple measures, the appropriate weight of each measure that reflects individually and collectively attributed results.
- > Collectively attributed results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of all students in a school or program or students across buildings/programs in an LEA who take the applicable assessments in the current school year.
- > <u>Collectively attributed group or team results</u>: scores and ratings for a group or team of teachers will be based on the growth of <u>students in the group/team of teachers' courses</u> or <u>students in the group/team of teachers' courses across buildings/programs in an LEA</u> in the current school year.
- > Collectively attributed linked results: scores and ratings will be based on the growth of students enrolled in the teacher's course in the current school year taking assessments in other grades/subjects.

#### **ASSESSMENTS**

Any of the measures above may be used with one or more of the following assessment types.

• State assessment(s); or

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 3 of



## Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Use the table below to list all applicable teachers with the corresponding measure and assessment(s).

\*Note on common branch/departmentalized options\*

#### Grades 4-8

- If all core content area instruction (ELA/math/science/social studies) is delivered by a single teacher, please select each applicable common branch grade level below.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

- If core content area instruction is departmentalized (i.e., separate ELA, math, science, and social studies teachers), please select the applicable grade level/content area combination(s).
- If both common branch and departmentalized instruction occurs in a particular grade level, please select both options for the applicable grade level(s).

Grades K-3 that use both a common branch and departmentalized model

- Check each applicable common branch grade level below.
- On the non-core/elective teachers page, select the "Elementary" option for applicable subjects in the "Subject" column with the corresponding grade(s).

### Choose "Add Row" to include an additional group of teachers with a different measure and assessment(s).

					. ' '
Applicable Teachers	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed	Third Party	Applicable
Select all that apply	Prior to making a	Assessment(s)	Course-Specific	Assessment(s)	School or
	selection, please read	Select all that apply	Assessment(s)	Select all that apply	BOCES-
	the description of each		Select all that apply		Program
	measure provided				Please leave
	above.				blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
☑ All teachers(all	☐ Collectively	☐ Grade 8 Science			(No
,					Response)
grade levels, subjects	attributed results	☑ Living Environment			
and courses)	(program, school or	Regents			
	district-wide measure)				

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 5 of 53

Task 2. TEACHERS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 6 of 53

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 3. TEACHERS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all classrooms in the same grade/subject in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any teacher.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 7 of 53

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

## **Teacher Observation Category**

For guidance on the Teacher Observation category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

### **Teacher Practice Rubric**

Select a teacher practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess teacher practice based on the NYS Teaching Standards.

NYS Teaching Standards.		
Rubric Name		If more than one rubric is utilized, please indicate the group(s) of teachers each rubric applies to.
		teachers each rubine applies to.
Danielson's Framework for Teaching (2011 Revise	d Edition)	

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

At what level are the	observable com	ponents of the se	elected rubric(s)	rated?
At Wilat icycl ale til	; UDSCI VADIC CUII	1001161113 01 1116 3	CICCICU I UDI ICISI	rateu:

☑ Subcomponent level (each observable subcomponent receives a rating)

the Observable components of the selected rubric(s) weighted?

☑ Each component is weighted equally and averaged

## **Scoring the Observation Category**

If an evaluator conducts multiple observations of the same type, how are those observations weighted? Examples of charging tiping of the cappe type include but are not limited to:

• Two observations by the principarEx

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Using the dropdown menus below, please indicate the locally-determined rubric scoring ranges based on the constraints prescribed by the Commissioner in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents for each of the rating categories.

Please select a minimum value between 3.50 and 3.75 and choose 4.00 as the maximum value for the Highly Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Highly Effective:	3.50	4.00

Please select a minimum value between 2.50 and 2.75 and a maximum value between 3.49 and 3.74 for the Effective range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Effective:	2.50	3.49

Please select a minimum value between 1.50 and 1.75 and a maximum value between 2.49 and 2.74 for the Developing range.

	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score
Developing:	1.50	2.49

Please choose 0.00 as the minimum value and select a maximum value between 1.49 and 1.74 for the Ineffective range.

· a.i.go.	ango.					
	Minimum Rubric Score	Maximum Rubric Score				
Ineffective:						
	0.00	1.49				

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 10 of 53

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Teacher Observations

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Teacher Observation Subcomponent Weighting**

For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

Required Subcomponent 1: Observations by Principal(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

- At least 80% of the Teacher Observation category score

Required Subcomponent 2: Observations by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

- At least 10%, but no more than 20%, of the Teacher Observation category score

Optional Subcomponent: Observations by Trained Peer Observer(s)

- No more than 10% of the Teacher Observation category score when selected

Please be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

\* The process selected for conducting observations, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

#### Please indicate the weight of each observation type and be sure the total of the weights indicated equals 100%.

	<b>J</b>	71	
Principal/Administrator	Independent Evaluator(s)	Peer Observer(s)	Group of teachers for which this weighting will
[Required]	[Required]	[Optional]	apply
			If only one group of teachers is applicable,
			please list "All teachers"
80%	20%	0% (N/A)	All Teachers

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 11 of 53

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### Number and Method of Observation: Subgroup 2

- At least one of the required observations must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one observation must be conducted by the building principal or other trained administrator (supervisor).

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

- Required Subcomponent 2: At least one observation must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one observation must be conducted by a trained peer observer (peer observer).

### Please identify the second subgroup of teachers to whom the information in the table below applies.

Tenured

Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of observations and method of observation for each type listed as applicable to the teachers identified above.

	Minimum Number of Observations	Method of Observation Select all that apply
Announced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	1	<ul><li>☑ In person</li><li>☑ Live virtual observation</li></ul>
Unannounced Supervisor Observation (Required Subcomponent 1)	0	<ul><li>☑ In person</li><li>☑ Live virtual observation</li></ul>
Announced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	0	<ul><li>☑ In person</li><li>☑ Live virtual observation</li></ul>
Unannounced Independent Evaluator Observation (Required Subcomponent 2)	1	<ul><li>☑ In person</li><li>☑ Live virtual observation</li></ul>
Announced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable
Unannounced Peer Observation (Optional)	N/A	☑ Not applicable

### **Independent Evaluator Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the teacher(s) they are evaluating.

☑ Assure that independent evaluator(s) will be trained and selected by the LEA.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 14 of 53

Task 4. TEACHERS: Observations - Subgroup 2

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Teacher Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Additional Requirements**

For more information on the additional requirements for teachers, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

### **Teacher Improvement Plan Assurances**

### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Teacher Improvement Plan (TIP) for all teachers who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such teacher's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

Assure that TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

### **Teacher Improvement Plan Forms**

All TIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a teacher's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the TIP forms that are used in the LEA.

TIP FORM STATE ED.docx

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 17 of 53

Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Appeals Assurances**

## Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA has collectively bargained appeal procedures that are consistent with the regulations and provide for the timely and expeditious resolution of an appeal.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

☑ Assure that an appeal shall not be filed until a teacher's receipt of their overall rating.

### **Appeals**

Pursuant to Education Law §3012-d, a teacher may only challenge the following in an appeal to their LEA:

- (1) the substance of the annual professional performance review [evaluation]; which shall include the following:
- (i) in the pnp4the nual profibe lneffs coller (iStl iPurPonal perpnpto egory, butrofibe HighmayEffs coller (iObservo Educto egoryppeal>BDCbm (der ng inom

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Which groups of teachers may utilize the	Please select the ground(s) on which the teachers selected are	What is the
appeals process?	permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.	maximum length
Select all groups that have the same process as	Select all that apply.	of time for the
defined in subsequent columns.		teachers
To add additional groups with a different process,		selected to
use the "Add Row" button.		receive a final
		decision from
		the filing of the
		appeal?
	required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section	
	3012-d	
	☐ ■ Equiredouner Education Law Section(3012-dan-d(Supartn )Tj T	(3-3g of theRules

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Training Assurance**

### Please read the assurance below and check the box.

- ☑ The LEA assures that all evaluators will be properly trained and lead evaluators will be certified on the below elements prior to completing a teacher's evaluation. Note: independent observers and peer observers need only be trained on, at a minimum, elements 1, 2, and 4 below.
- 1. The New York State Teaching Standards, and their related elements and performance indicators
- 2. Evidence-based observation techniques that are grounded in research
- 3. Application and use of any methodology as part of an SLO and any optional second measures of student performance used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
- 4. Application and use of the State-approved teacher rubric(s) selected by the LEA for use in evaluations, including training on the effective application of such rubrics to observe a teacher's practice
- 5. Application and use of any assessment tools that the LEA utilizes to evaluate its classroom teachers
- 6. Application and use of any locally selected measures of student growth used in the Optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category used by the LEA to evaluate its teachers
- 7. Use of the Statewide Instructional Reporting System
- 8. The scoring methodology utilized by the Department and/or the LEA to evaluate a teacher under this Subpart, including the weightings of each subcomponent within a category; how overall scores/ratings are generated for each subcomponent and category and application and use of the evaluation matrix(es) prescribed by the Commissioner for the four designated rating categories used for the teacher's overall rating and their category ratings
- 9. Specific considerations in evaluating teachers of English language learners and students with disabilities

Training of Lead Evaluators, Evaluators, Independent Evaluators, and Peer Observers and Certification of Lead Evaluators

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

☑ 2-6 hours

### Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

☑ 2-6 hours

### **Certification of Lead Evaluators**

How often are lead evaluators certified?

☑ Other (please provide additional information below)

In the box below, please indicate how often lead evaluators are re-certified.

Periodically

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

☑ Board of Education

#### Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the observation process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precision of the implementation of the chosen evaluation rubric(s). It also requires administrators to analyze and track educator evaluation data and ensure that observations are being completed with fidelity.

Select the option(s) below that best describe the process in place for maintaining inter-rater reliability.

Please check all that apply.

- ☑ Data analysis to detect disparities on the part of the evaluators
- ☑ Periodic comparisons of an evaluator's assessment of the same classroom teacher
- ☑ Periodic calibration meetings and/or trainings

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 21 of 53

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 6. TEACHERS: Additional Requirements - Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Teacher Evaluation Assurances**

### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the LEA shall compute and provide to the teacher their score and rating for the Student Performance category, if available, and for the Teacher Observation category for the teacher's evaluation, in writing, no later than the last school day of the school year for which the teacher is being measured, but in no case later than September 1 of the school year next following the school year for which the teacher's performance is being measured.
- Assure that the evaluation system will be used as a significant factor for employment decisions.
- ☑ Assure that teachers will receive timely and constructive feedback as part of the evaluation process.
- Assure that the following prohibited elements listed in Education Law Section 3012-d(6) are not being used as part of any teacher's evaluation: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of teacher practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; use of professional goal-setting as evidence of teacher effectiveness; any locally-developed assessment that has not been approved by the Department; and any growth or achievement target that does not meet the minimum standards as set

yeitru13 below a8that h in/ riby thstT\* (tha3T /Figure <</MCID 4 2>>B13 77 622 8> /lm3 6o Q EMC BT /Lbl <</MCID ssmeelaaggg wit11 >>BI

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Required Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the required subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

100% of the Student Performance category if only the required subcomponent is used or locally determined if the optional subcomponent is selected.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 23 of 53

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

equired Student Performance	Measures			
n Ricequiired IstQde@tpKrfcRnDaOceSnleta3uF		Dera∀s⊀uDde⊍htleánÎbn iziÌgnod	oĵvéα̇̀Ñvep(€lμΟὄ)OrPaZigmpwiÔn6	Boddth, "windeërÉithDe Þó fÀ á ÚïîêInþ1⁄a
incipal's overall rating shall be determine	ed based on evidence of pri	ncipal practice that pro 1	n ingined bas th À	

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Information and Assurances

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **INPUT MODEL**

Selection of the Input Model will require:

- a description of the areas of principal practice that will be evaluated;
- a description of how the selected areas of principal practice promote student growth;
- a description of the evidence of student growth and principal practice that will be collected; and
- a description of how the district will use the evidence to differentiate effectiveness resulting in a score from 0 to 20 and ratings of Highly Effective, Effective, Developing, or Ineffective.

### Measure Type(s)

Please indicate below which type(s) of measures will be used to evaluate principals. Please check all that apply.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

☑ Student Learning Objective (SLO)

### **Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that processes are in place for the superintendent to monitor SLOs and/or input models.
- ☑ Assure that the final Student Performance category rating for each principal will be determined using the weights and growth parameters specified in Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents and the approved Educator Evaluation plan.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 25 of 53

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **HEDI Scoring Bands**

Highly	Effect	ive	Effecti	ive		Devel	oping	Ineffe	ctive											
20	19	18	17	16	15	14	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0
97- 100 %	93- 96%	90- 92%	85- 89%	80- 84%	75- 79%	67- 74%	60- 66%	55- 59%	49- 54%		39- 43%		29- 33%				13- 16%	-	5-8%	0-4%

### **SLO Assurances**

### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that for any SLO based, in part, on the New York State grade four science assessment, once the assessment is no longer administered the SLO will utilize only the remaining assessments.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that such SLO is determined locally in a manner consistent with the goal-setting process determined by the Commissioner.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets represent a minimum of one year of expected growth, as determined locally in a manner consistent with the Commissioner's goal-setting process. Such targets may only take the following characteristics into account: poverty, students with disabilities, English language learner status and prior academic history.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that all student growth targets shall measure the change in a student's performance between the baseline and the end of the course.
- ☑ For principals evaluated using an SLO, assure that if the principal's SLO is based on a small 'n' size population and the LEA chooses not to use the HEDI scoring bands listed above, then the principal's 0-20 score and HEDI rating will be determined using the HEDI scoring bands specified by the Department in SLO Guidance.

### **Measures and Assessments**

character with the corresponBDr 63gtS

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

## Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Student Learning Objectives

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Building	Measure	State or Regents	Locally-developed Course-Specific	Third Party	Applicable
Configuration(s)		Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	Assessment(s)	School or
for Applicable		Select all that	Select all that apply	Select all that	BOCES-
Principals		apply		apply	Program
Select all that apply					Please leave
					blank unless
					instructed by
					the
					Department
					to complete
					this column.
		Environment			
		Regents			

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 27 of 53

Task 7. PRINCIPALS: Required Student Performance - Weighting

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

## Use of the Optional Subcomponent and Student Performance Category Weighting

- If the Optional subcomponent is not used, the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.
- If the Optional subcomponent is used, the percentage of the Student Performance category attributed to the Required subcomponent will be locally determined.

Please indicate if the Optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the Optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used; the Required subcomponent will comprise 100% of the Student Performance category.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 28 of 53

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 8. PRINCIPALS: Optional Student Performance - Use of the Optional Subcomponent

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Optional Student Performance Subcomponent**

For guidance on the optional subcomponent of the Student Performance category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

Percentage of Student Performance category to be locally determined if selected.

Such second measure shall apply in a consistent manner, to the extent practicable, across all programs or buildings with the same grade configuration in the LEA and be a locally selected measure of student growth or achievement based on State-created or - administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments.

Options for measures and associated assessments include:

- · Option (A) A second SLO, provided that this SLO is different than that used in the required subcomponent;
- Option (B) A growth score based on a statistical growth model, where available, for either State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (C) A measure of student growth, other than an SLO, based on State-created or -administered assessments or Statedesigned supplemental assessments;
- Option (D) A performance index based on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (E) An achievement benchmark on State-created or -administered assessments or State-designed supplemental assessments;
- Option (F) Four, five, or six-year high school graduation rates;
- Option (G) An input model where the principal's overall rating shall be determined based on evidence of principal practice that promotes student growth related to the Leadership Standards; or
- Any other collectively bargained measure of student growth or achievement included in the LEA's evaluation plan.

Please indicate if the optional subcomponent will be used by making the appropriate selection below.

NO, the optional subcomponent WILL NOT be used in the Student Performance category for any principal.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 29 of 53

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Principal School Visit Category**

For guidance on the Principal School Visit category, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance. For a definition of terms used in this section, see the Educator Evaluation Glossary.

For the school visit category, principals' shall be evaluated based on a State-approved rubric using multiple sources of evidence collected and incorporated into the school visit protocol. Where appropriate, such evidence may be aligned to building or district goals; provided, however, that professional goal-setting may not be used as evidence of teacher or principal effectiveness. Such evidence shall reflect school leadership practice aligned to the Leadership Standards and selected practice rubric.

## **Principal Practice Rubric**

Select a principal practice rubric from the menu of State-approved rubrics to assess principal practice based on ISLLC 2008 Standards (PSEL standards beginning in 2024-25).

Rubric Name	If more than one rubric is utilized,
	please indicate the group(s) of
	principals each rubric applies to.
Multidimensional Principal Performance Rubric	(No Response)

Please read the assurances below	and check each box.	

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Rubric and Scoring

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

	Overall School Visit Category Score and Rating		
	Minimum	Maximum	
н	3.5 to 3.75	4.0	
E	2.5 to 2.75	3.49 to 3.74	
D	1.5 to 1.75	2.49 to 2.74	

 •		

### Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

### **Principal School Visits**

The principal school visit category is made up of two (2) required and one (1) optional subcomponents.

- The frequency and duration of school visits are locally determined.
- · School visits may not occur by live or recorded video.
- LEAs may locally determine whether to use more than one school visit by any of the required observers. Nothing shall be construed to limit the discretion of administrators to conduct school visits in addition to those required by this section for non-evaluative purposes.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

### **Required Subcomponents**

• At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).

### Required Subcomponent 1: School Visits by Supervisor(s) or Other Trained Administrator(s)

• At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator.

### Required Subcomponent 2: School visits by Impartial Independent Trained Evaluator(s)\*

- At least one school visits must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator.
- Impartial independent trained evaluators are trained and selected by the LEA. They may be employed within the LEA, but may not be assigned to the same school building as the principal being evaluated. This could include other administrators, department chairs, or peers, so long as they are not from the same building (defined as same BEDS code) as the principal being evaluated.
- \* The process selected for conducting school visits, including those conducted by trained, impartial independent evaluators, exists in perpetuity until a new plan is approved by the Commissioner. However, if your LEA applies for and receives approval of an Independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver for a school year, then the terms specified in that waiver application will apply for that school year only. Please note that independent Evaluator Hardship Waiver requests must be submitted and approved on an annual basis.

### Optional Subcomponent: School Visits by Trained Peer Principal(s)

- If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal.
- Peer principals are trained and selected by the LEA. Trained peer principals must have received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the prior school year.

#### School Visit Assurances

### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- Assure that the following elements will not be used in calculating a principal's school visit category score and rating: evidence of student development and performance derived from lesson plans, other artifacts of principal practice, and student portfolios, except for student portfolios measured by a State-approved rubric where permitted by the Department; use of an instrument for parent or student feedback; and/or use of professional goal-setting as evidence of principal effectiveness. Consistent with Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents, assure that points shall not be allocated based on any artifacts, unless such artifact constitutes evidence of an otherwise observable rubric subcomponent.
- ☑ Assure that the length of all school visits for principals will be conducted pursuant to the locally-determined durations.
- ☑ Assure that at least one of the required school visits will be unannounced.
- ☑ Assure that school visits will not be conducted via video.

### **Number of School Visits**

- At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 34 of 53

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

## Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Principal School Visits

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

administrator (supervisor).

- Required Subcomponent 2: At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal (peer principal).

## Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	·
	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	0
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	0
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	0

#### Does the information in the table above apply to all principals?

☑ No, there are 2 groups of principals who receive a different number of school visits of each type (e.g., tenured principals and probationary principals; identify the first subgroup below).

Please identify the first subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table above applies.

Probationary

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 35 of 53

## **GREENWOOD LAKE UFSD**

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

## **Number of School Visits: Subgroup 2**

- At least one of the required school visits must be unannounced (across both required subcomponents).
- Required Subcomponent 1: At least one school visit must be conducted by the superintendent or other trained administrator (supervisor).
- Required Subcomponent 2: At least one school visit must be conducted by an impartial independent trained evaluator (independent evaluator).
- Optional Subcomponent: If selected, at least one school visit must be conducted by a trained peer principal).

#### Please identify the second subgroup of principals to whom the information in the table below applies.

Tenured

#### Please use the table below to enter the minimum number of school visits for each type listed.

	Minimum Number of School Visits
Announced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	0
Unannounced Supervisor School Visits (Required Subcomponent 1)	1
Announced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	1
Unannounced Independent Evaluator School Visits (Required Subcomponent 2)	0
Announced Peer School Visits (Optional)	0
Unannounced Peer School Visits (Optional)	0

## **Independent Evaluator Assurances**

## Please read the assurances below and check each box.

₹	Assure that independent evaluator(s) are not employed in the same school building, as defined by BEDS code, as the principal(s)
the	ey are evaluating.

₹	Assure that independent	evaluator(s) will	< <th>'M</th> <th>'M</th> <th>TdEag.</th>	'M	'M	TdEag.
---	-------------------------	-------------------	---	----	----	--------

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 9. PRINCIPALS: School Visits - Subgroup 2

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

#### **Peer School Visit Assurances**

Please read the assurances below and check each box.

- ☑ Assure that peer principals, as applicable, will be trained and selected by the LEA.
- ☑ Assure that, if school visits are being conducted by trained peer principal(s), these principal(s) received an overall rating of Effective or Highly Effective in the previous school year.

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 37 of 53

## **GREENWOOD LAKE UFSD**

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 10. PRINCIPALS: Overall Scoring - Category and Overall Ratings

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

## **Category and Overall Ratings**

For guidance on Educator Evaluation scoring, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

**Category Scoring Ranges** 

	·				

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

## Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Principal Improvement Plans

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

#### **Additional Requirements**

For guidance on additional requirements for principals, see NYSED Educator Evaluation Guidance.

## **Principal Improvement Plan Assurances**

#### Please read the assurances below and check each box.

Assure that the LEA will formulate and commence implementation of a Principal Improvement Plan (PIP) for all principals who receive an overall rating of Developing or Ineffective by October 1 following the school year for which such principal's performance is being measured or as soon as practicable thereafter.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

Assure that PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, and subject to collective bargaining to the extent required under article 14 of the Civil Service Law, shall include: identification of needed areas of improvement, a timeline for achieving improvement, the manner in which the improvement will be assessed, and, where appropriate, differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

## **Principal Improvement Plan Forms**


All PIP plans developed and implemented by the superintendent or their designee, in the exercise of their pedagogical judgment, must include:

- 1) identification of needed areas of improvement;
- 2) a timeline for achieving improvement;
- 3) the manner in which the improvement will be assessed; and, where appropriate,
- 4) differentiated activities to support a principal's improvement in those areas.

As a required attachment to this Educator Evaluation plan, upload the PIP forms that are used in the LEA.

PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FORM - GWL Admin Association.docx

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 39 of 53



## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

## Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Appeals

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Which groups of principals may utilize the appeals process?  Select all groups that have the same process as defined in subsequent columns.	Please select the ground(s) on which the principals selected are permitted to appeal their overall evaluation rating.  Please select all that apply.	What is the maximum length of time for the principals selected to receive a final decision from the filing of the appeal?
To add additional groups with a different process, use the "Add Row" button.		
process, use the Add Now Batton.	and methodologies required for such reviews, pursuant to Education Law Section 3012-d	
	☐ The adherence to the regulations of the Commissioner and compliance with any applicable locally negotiated procedures, as required under Education Law Section	
	3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents  ☑ The LEA's issuance and/or implementation of the terms of the principal	
	improvement plan, as required under Education Law Section 3012-d and Subpart 30-3 of the Rules of the Board of Regents	

If "Other" was selected in the table above, please list the corresponding row number and group(s) of principals that may utilize the appeals process.

Row Number	Groups of principals not specified in the table above that may utilize the appeals process.
(No Response)	(No Response)

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 41 of 53

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 11. PRINCIPALS: Additional Requirements - Training

Page Last Modified: 01/17/2023

Approximately how many hours of initial training will new evaluators receive?

☑ 2-6 hours

## Retraining

Approximately how many hours of re-training (annual, periodic, or other frequency) will evaluators receive?

#### **Certification of Lead Evaluators**

How often are lead evaluators certified?

☑ Other (please provide additional information below)

In the box below, please indicate how often lead evaluators are re-certified.

Periodically

Please identify the party responsible for the certification and re-certification of lead evaluators.

☑ Board of Education

#### Inter-rater Reliability

Inter-rater reliability refers to the extent to which different evaluators produce similar ratings in judging the same abilities or characteristics in the same target person or object. Within the context of educator evaluation, inter-rater reliability requires all evaluators trained in the school visit process to reach independent consensus on observable behaviors to ensure the accuracy, consistency, and precvals traine88raine88rainebehaviors to cvals traine88s). Itepesoreach inc

## Educator Evaluation - Ed Law §3012-d, amended in 2019

Task 12. Joint Certification of Educator Evaluation Plan - Upload Certification Form

Page Last Modified: 04/06/2023

#### **Upload Educator Evaluation LEA Certification Form**

Please Note: SED Monitoring timestamps each revision and signatures cannot be dated earlier than the last revision. To ensure the accuracy of the timestamp on each task, please submit from Task 12 only.

Status Date: 04/06/2023 08:50 AM - Submitted

Implementation of the Evaluation Plan

Please indicate below the first academic year to which this evaluation plan will be applicable.

☑ 2022-23

Please obtain the required signatures, create a PDF file, and upload your joint certification of the Educator Evaluation plan using the "LEA Certification Form" found in the "Documents" menu on the left side of the page.

LEA.pdf

APPR Signatures.pdf

04/06/2023 09:28 AM Page 45 of 53

		opment opportunities, materials, resources and supports the District will make
available to assist the teacher, inc	cluding, where appro	priate, the assignment of a mentor teacher.
	_	Date of Completion (if applicable)
Teacher's Signature	Date	[ ] Completed
		[ ] Not Completed
	_	
Administrator's Signature	Date	Completion Date
<b>Teacher initials below:</b>		
Yes: Please send a copy of	f this TIP to the CI	TA President(s): or
1 es. 1 lease send a copy of		1A 1 resident(s), or

# PRINCIPAL IMPROVEMENT PLAN FORM

(1) AREA(S) IN NEED OF IMPROVEMENT	(2) TIME LIMIT FOR ACHIEVING IMPROVEMENT	(3) DIFFERENTIATED ACTIVITIES TO SUPPORT IMPROVEMENT	(4) MANNER OF ASSESSMENT OF IMPROVEMENT
Principal's Signature	·	Date	
Evaluator's Signatur	e	Date	

	LEA CERTIFICATION FORM: Please download, sign, and upload this form to complete the submission of your LEA's
<u> </u>	Decimination of the LEA and the collective beyoning a gentle) sortify that the Educator Evaluation plan culturities to the
	By signing this document, the LEA and its collective bargaining agent(s) certify that the Educator Evaluation plan submitted to the
	Y
_	
	Lr <sub>k</sub>
	k
	3
_	

